



41 22 338 82 70	Facsimile No.
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	34, Chemin des Colombelettes
The International Bureau of WIPO	1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland
Smilin Bahaoui	Smilin Bahaoui
Authorized officer	e-mail: p109@wipo.int
07 August 2006 (07.08.2006)	Date of issuance of this report

4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain observations on the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basics of the report

3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:

1. This International Preliminary Report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Preliminary Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).  
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the International Preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.  
In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Preliminary Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the International Preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.

414/04388	Applicant's or agent's file reference	FOR FURTHER ACTION		
See item 4 below				
(PCT Rule 44bis)				
(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)				
INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY				
PCT				
PATENT COOPERATION TREATY				
MOTOROLA INC.				
Applicant				
See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237				
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated)				
International Application No. PCT/IL2005/000136				
International filing date (day/month/year) 04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)				
Priority date (day/month/year) 05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)				

<p>3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 2 months from the priority date, whichever expires later, of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever applies.</p> <p>If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing that written opinion of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>A written opinion of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the application concerns an application other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66, I(b)(b).</p> <p>If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the application concerns an application other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66, I(b)(b).</p>					
<p>2. FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>Box No. I Basis of the opinion <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. II Priority <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement <input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. VI Certain documents cited <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application <input type="checkbox"/></p> <p>Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application <input type="checkbox"/></p>					
<p>1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:</p>					

<p>INTERNATIONAL COOPERATION TREATY</p> <p>WITTEN OPINION OF THE</p> <p>INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY</p> <p>PAUL FENSTER &amp; COMPANY, INTELLIGENT PROPERTY LTD.</p> <p>P.O. BOX 10256</p> <p>PETACH TIKVA, ISRAEL 49002</p> <p>To:</p> <p>INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY</p> <p>REC'D 15 MAY 2006</p> <p>PC</p>		<p>414/04388</p> <p>Date of mailing (day/month/year) <b>12 MAY 2006</b></p> <p>See paragraph 2 below</p> <p>International application No. <b>PCT/IL/00136</b></p> <p>International Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>IPC: A63B 26/00 (2006.01), 23/00 (2006.01)</b></p> <p>USPC: <b>482/142,148</b></p> <p>Priority date (day/month/year) <b>04 February 2005 (04.02.2005)</b></p> <p>Priority date (day/month/year) <b>05 February 2004 (05.02.2004)</b></p> <p>International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC <b>International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC</b></p> <p>Applicant's or agent's file reference <b>414/04388</b></p> <p>FOR FURTHER ACTION</p> <p>(day/month/year) <b>12 MAY 2006</b></p> <p>See paragraph 2 below</p>	
---	--	---	--

1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
- the international application in the language in which it was filed
- a translation of the international application into \_\_\_\_\_, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of
2. With regard to any nationality and/or any sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
- a sequence listing
- table(s) related to the sequence listing
- a. type of material
- a sequence listing
- table(s) related to the sequence listing
- b. format of material
- on paper
- in electronic form
- time of filing/furnishing
- c. time of filing/furnishing
- contained in the international application as filed
- filed together with the international application in electronic form
- furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search
3. In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statement that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

International application No.	PCT/IL/05/00136	INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
Box No. 1 Basis of this opinion		

<p>1. In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees, the applicant has, within the applicable time limit:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> paid additional fees</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> paid additional fees under protest and, where applicable, the protest fee</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> paid additional fees under protest but the applicable protest fee was not paid</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> not paid additional fees</p>	<p>See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report (Form PCT/ISA/210)</p> <p>3. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is met additional fees.</p> <p>2. This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant to pay additional fees.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> not compiled with</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> compiled with</p>
<p>4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-44</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> all parts.</p>	

<p>International application No.:</p> <p>WritteN OPINION OF THE</p> <p>INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY</p> <p>PCT/IL/05/00136</p>	<p>Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention</p>
---	--

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement	
1. Statement	
Novelty (N)	Claims 1-44 Claims NONE YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1-44 Claims NONE YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-44 Claims NONE YES
2. Citations and explanations:	
Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instant invention as claimed.	
Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2)-(3), because the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest the instant invention as claimed.	
Claims 1-44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.	

International application No. PCT/IL/05/00136	WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY
---	--